

ON LUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELMANN CATEGORY OF CONNECTED SUMS

ROBERT J. NEWTON

ABSTRACT. In this paper we estimate the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of the connected sum of two manifolds through their categories. We achieve a more general result regarding the category of a quotient space X/A where A is a suitable subspace of X .

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Definition. The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category (LS category) of a space X is the smallest nonnegative integer n such that there exists $\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n\}$, an open cover of X with each A_i contractible in X . This is denoted by $n = \text{cat } X$.

Following this definition, spaces with LS category 0 are contractible.

The goal of the paper is to prove the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\} - 1 \leq \text{cat}(M \# N) \leq \max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\},$$

where M and N are closed manifolds.

To prove the inequality, we consider a more general problem about the relation of $\text{cat } X$ and $\text{cat}(X/A)$. This problem is indeed more general: in fact, put $X = M \# N$ and A be an $(n-1)$ sphere that separates M and N (with removed discs). Then $X/A = M \vee N$ and $\text{cat}(M \vee N) = \max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\}$.

All spaces are assumed to be CW spaces.

Acknowledgments: A special thanks my advisor, Dr. Yuli Rudyak, and to Dr. Alexander Dranishnikov for their continued support and patience.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Definition. For a path-connected space X with basepoint x_0 , we define PX to be the set of all continuous functions $\gamma : I \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\gamma(0) = x_0$ topologized by the compact-open topology.

We then define $p : PX \rightarrow X$ given by $p(\gamma) = \gamma(1)$, a fibration with base space X and fiber $\Omega(X)$, the loop space of X .

Given $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and $g : Z \rightarrow X$ we can define $Y *_X Z = \{(y, z, t) \in Y * Z \mid f(y) = g(z)\}$ and $(f *_X g) : Y *_X Z \rightarrow X$ by $(f *_X g)(y, z, t) = f(y)$.

From this, we define $P_n X$ to be the fiberwise join of n copies of PX over $p : PX \rightarrow X$ defined above and denote the fiberwise map as

$$(2.1) \quad p_n^X : P_n X \rightarrow X.$$

Note that the (homotopy) fiber of p_n^X is $\Omega(X)^{*n}$, the n -fold join of $\Omega(X)$.

We need the following theorem of Schwarz, see [2, 16].

2.2. Theorem. *The inequality $\text{cat}(X) \leq n$ holds iff there exists a section $s : X \rightarrow P_{n+1}X$ to $p : P_{n+1}X \rightarrow X$.*

2.3. Remark. These claims are well-known, we list them here for reference.

- (1) $\text{cat}(X \vee Y) = \max\{\text{cat } X, \text{cat } Y\}$;
- (2) $\text{cat}(X \cup Y) \leq \text{cat}(X) + \text{cat}(Y) + 1$;
- (3) $\text{cat}(X/A) - 1 \leq \text{cat } X$. This follows from the fact that X/A has homotopy type of $X \cup CA$, the union of X with the cone over A , and item (2).

It should be noted that Bernstein and Hilton explored the changes in category of a space after attaching a cone in [1] following Hilton's exploration of what's now known as the Hilton-Hopf invariant in [8].

3. MAIN RESULTS

3.1. Proposition. *The inequality (1.1) holds whenever $\max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\} \leq 2$*

Proof. If $\text{cat } M = 1 = \text{cat } N$ then M and N are homotopy spheres, and so $M \# N$ is. Conversely, if $\text{cat } M \# N = 1$ then M and N must be homotopy spheres. Thus, we proved that $\text{cat}(M \# N) = \max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\}$ if $\max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\} \leq 2$. $\square$

3.2. Theorem. *Suppose X is an n -dimensional space with m -connected subspace A , with $3 \leq \text{cat}(X/A) \leq k$, and $k + m - 1 \geq n$. Then $\text{cat } X \leq k$.*

Proof. For sake of simplicity, put $p = p_{k+1}^{X/A}$ and $p' = p_{k+1}^X$, cf. (2.1). As $\text{cat}(X/A) \leq k$, and by Theorem 2.2, there exists the following section s with $ps = 1_{X/A}$.

$$\begin{array}{c} P_{k+1}(X/A) \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow s \\ p \downarrow \end{array} \\ X/A \end{array}$$

Now we consider the collapsing map $q : X \rightarrow X/A$, and get the fiber-pullback diagram.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} E & \xrightarrow{f} & P_{k+1}(X/A) & \xlongequal{\quad} & P_{k+1}(X/A) \\ \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & \uparrow s \\ X & \longrightarrow & X/A & \xlongequal{\quad} & X/A \end{array}$$

Now consider $P_{k+1}X$. We already have $p' : P_{k+1}X \rightarrow X$, and the collapsing map $q : X \rightarrow X/A$ induces a map $q' : P_{k+1}X \rightarrow P_{k+1}(X/A)$. Since $pq' = gp'$ and the square is the pull-back diagram, we get a map $h : P_{k+1} \rightarrow X$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
P_{k+1}X & \xrightarrow{q'} & P_{k+1}(X/A) \\
\downarrow p' & \searrow h & \downarrow p \\
E & \xrightarrow{f} & P_{k+1}(X/A) \\
\downarrow s' & & \downarrow s \\
X & \xrightarrow{q} & X/A
\end{array}$$

Recall that our goal is to prove $\text{cat } X \leq k$. Because of Schwarz's Theorem 2.2, it suffices to construct a section of p' . To do this, it suffices in turn to construct a section of the map $h : P_{k+1}(X) \rightarrow E$. Moreover, since $\dim X = n$, it suffices to construct a section of h over the n -skeleton $E^{(n)}$ of E , i.e., to construct a map $\phi : E^{(n)} \rightarrow P_{k+1}(X)$ with $h\phi = 1_E$.

By homotopy excision [7, Prop. 4.28], and because A is m -connected, the quotient map $q : X \rightarrow X/A$ induces isomorphisms $q_* : \pi_n(X) \rightarrow \pi_n(X/A)$ for $n \leq m$ and epimorphism for $n = m+1$. So, $\pi_n(\Omega X) \rightarrow \pi_n(\Omega(X/A))$ is an isomorphism for $n \leq (m-1)$ and epimorphism for $n = m$. Therefore $(\Omega X)^{*(k+1)} \rightarrow (\Omega(X/A))^{*(k+1)}$ is an isomorphism for $n \leq m+k$ because of [3, Prop. 5.7].

The long exact sequence of homotopy groups for a fibration yields the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_i((\Omega X)^{*(k+1)}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_i(P_{k+1}X) & \longrightarrow & \pi_i(X) \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow h_* & & \downarrow \cong \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_i((\Omega X)^{*(k+1)}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_i(E) & \longrightarrow & \pi_i(X) \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

By the 5-lemma, the map h_* is an isomorphism for $i \leq (m+k-1)$ and epimorphism for $n = m+k$. So by Whitehead's theorem, there exists a map $\phi : E^{(n)} \rightarrow P_{k+1}X$. Now, the composition $(\phi \circ s')$ is a section to $p' : P_{k+1} \rightarrow X$. Thus $\text{cat } X \leq k$. $\square$

Combining this with the previous Remark 2.3 gives the following inequality:

$$\text{cat}(X/A) - 1 \leq \text{cat}(X) \leq \text{cat}(X/A)$$

under the dimension-connectivity conditions from Theorem 3.2.

Consider the case where $X = M \# N$, the connected sum of n -dimensional manifolds M and N , and $A = S^{n-1}$ is the separating sphere between M and N . Then $X/A = M \vee N$, and $\text{cat}(X/A) = \max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\}$. We have A is $(n-2)$ -connected, and we can assume $\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N \geq 3$ because of Proposition 3.1. Then $\text{cat}(X/A) \geq 3$, and so as $(n-2)+3-1 \geq n$, we are in the case of Theorem 3.2 and get the following corollary.

3.3. Corollary. *There is a double inequality*

$$\max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\} - 1 \leq \text{cat}(M \# N) \leq \max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\}.$$

Proof. Consider the case where $X = M \# N$, the connected sum of n -dimensional manifolds M and N , and $A = S^{n-1}$ is the separating sphere between M and N . Then $X/A = M \vee N$, and $\text{cat}(X/A) = \max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\}$. We have A is $(n-2)$ -connected, and we can assume

$\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N \geq 2$ because of Proposition 3.1. Then $\text{cat}(X/A) \geq 3$, and so as $(n-2)+3-1 \geq n$, we are in the case of Theorem 3.2 and get the corollary. $\square$

3.4. Remark. In [6], an upper bound is given for the LS category of a double mapping cylinder. If we consider the connected sum of n -manifolds M and N as such a double mapping cylinder, then the following inequality is obtained:

$$(3.2) \quad \text{cat } M \# N \leq \min\{1 + \text{cat } M' + \text{cat } N', 1 + \max\{\text{cat } M', \text{cat } N'\}\}.$$

Here M' and N' are $M \setminus \text{pt}$ and $N \setminus \text{pt}$, respectively.

Rivadeneyra proved in [12] that category of a manifold without boundary does not increase when a point is removed. If the categories of M and N do decrease by one when a point is removed, then (3.2) has already established the main result here. However, in [11] a closed manifold is constructed so that the category remains unchanged after the deletion of a point, and Theorem 3.2 gives an improvement of the category estimate for such a case.

3.5. Remark. It is unknown if there is an example of two manifolds M and N such that $\text{cat } M \# N = \max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\} - 1$.

4. CONNECTED SUM AND TOOMER INVARIANT

4.1. Definition. The Toomer invariant of X $e(X)$ is the least integer k for which the map $p_n^* : H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(P_n(X))$ is injective, see [2]. It follows that $e(X) \leq \text{cat } X$.

4.2. Proposition. For closed and oriented manifolds M and N , $e(M \# N) \geq \max\{e(M), e(N)\}$.

Proof. Consider $f : M \# N \rightarrow M$ the collapsing map onto M . Then we have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(P_n M) & \longrightarrow & H^*(P_n(M \# N)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^*(M) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & H^*(M \# N) \end{array}$$

This map has degree 1, and so $f^* : H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M \# N)$ is injective [13, Theorem V, 2.13]. Also suppose $p_n^* : H^*(M \# N) \rightarrow H^*(P_n(M \# N))$ is injective. Consider $u \in H^*(M)$. As f^* and p_n^* are injective, $p_n^*(u) \in H^*(P_n M)$ is nonzero, and so $p_n^* : H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(P_n M)$ is injective, and similarly for N . And so $e(M \# N) \geq \max\{e(M), e(N)\}$. $\square$

4.3. Proposition. For closed, oriented manifolds M and N , if $\text{cat } M = e(M)$ and $\text{cat } N = e(N)$, then $\text{cat}(M \# N) = \max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\}$.

Proof. Combining the assumptions $e(M) = \text{cat } M$ and $e(N) = \text{cat } N$ with the inequality $\max\{e(M), e(N)\} \leq e(M \# N) \leq \text{cat}(M \# N) \leq \max\{\text{cat } M, \text{cat } N\}$, we have the claim. $\square$

Rudyak asked if the existence of a map $f : M \rightarrow N$, of degree 1, implies the inequality $\text{cat } M \geq \text{cat } N$ [14], [2, Open problem 2.48]. While not achieving the full result, he was able to prove some partial results. In particular it follows from the same injective property of f^* (4.2) that $e(M) \geq e(N)$, when such a map exists [14].

4.4. Remark. We know $e(M \times S^n) \geq e(M) + 1$, and there exist examples where $\text{cat}(M \times S^n) = \text{cat } M$ for suitable M and n , [9], [10].

5. RATIONALIZATIONS

Here we assume X to be simply connected and denote by $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the rationalization of X , see [5],[15]. We define $e_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ to be the least integer n such that the n th fibration $P_n X \rightarrow X$ induces an injection in cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$. For X simply connected and of finite type, we have that $e_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = e(X_{\mathbb{Q}})$, [2].

5.1. Proposition. *For simply connected, CW spaces X and Y , $(X \vee Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong X_{\mathbb{Q}} \vee Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. In the following diagram, the map l is the localization map of $X \vee Y$, and k is given by the wedge of localization maps on X and Y . The map j exists by the universal property of $(X \vee Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and induces isomorphisms in homology. Hence $X_{\mathbb{Q}} \vee Y_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong (X \vee Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \vee Y & \xrightarrow{l} & (X \vee Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ & \searrow k & \downarrow j \\ & & X_{\mathbb{Q}} \vee Y_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

□

In [4] it is shown that for a closed, simply connected manifold M , $e(M) = e_{\mathbb{Q}}(M) = \text{cat}(M_{\mathbb{Q}})$, and hence $\text{cat } M_{\mathbb{Q}} \leq \text{cat } M$.

5.2. Proposition. *For M and N , closed and simply connected manifolds, $\text{cat}(M \# N)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \max\{\text{cat } M_{\mathbb{Q}}, \text{cat } N_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$.*

Proof. As M and N are closed and simply connected, $M \# N$ is closed and simply connected, and $e_{\mathbb{Q}}(M \# N) = \text{cat}(M \# N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Combining (3.3) and (4.2) establishes on the left hand side,

$$\max\{\text{cat } M_{\mathbb{Q}}, \text{cat } N_{\mathbb{Q}}\} = \max\{e_{\mathbb{Q}}(M), e_{\mathbb{Q}}(N)\} \leq e_{\mathbb{Q}}(M \# N) = \text{cat}(M \# N)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

While on the right hand side we have,

$$\text{cat}(M \# N)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{cat}_{\mathbb{Q}}(M \# N) \leq \max(\text{cat}_{\mathbb{Q}} M, \text{cat}_{\mathbb{Q}} N) = \max\{\text{cat } M_{\mathbb{Q}}, \text{cat } N_{\mathbb{Q}}\},$$

where the middle inequality comes from (3.3). □

Returning to Rudyak's question on a possible relation between degree and category, we can settle it in the rational context.

5.3. Proposition. *For closed and simply connected m -manifolds M and N with $f : M \rightarrow N$ of nonzero degree, we have $\text{cat } M_{\mathbb{Q}} \geq \text{cat } N_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show $e_{\mathbb{Q}}(M) \geq e_{\mathbb{Q}}(N)$. That is, suppose $p^* : H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(P_n(M); \mathbb{Q})$ in the following diagram is injective.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(P_n(M); \mathbb{Q}) & \longleftarrow & H^*(P_n(N); \mathbb{Q}) \\ p^* \uparrow & & \uparrow p^* \\ H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & H^*(N; \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

By [13, V.2.13], the map f^* is injective. Since p^* and f^* are injective, the composition $p^* \circ f^*$ is injective, and $p^* : H^*(N; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(P_n(N); \mathbb{Q})$ is injective. Thus $e_{\mathbb{Q}}(N) \leq n$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Bernstein, and P. Hilton. Category and generalized Hopf invariants. *Illinois J. Math.* **4** (1960), 437-451.
- [2] O. Cornea, G. Lupton, J. Oprea and D. Tanré. Lusternik–Schnirelmann category. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, **103**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [3] A. Dranishnikov, M. Katz, and Yu. Rudyak. Small values of the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category for manifolds, *Geometry and Topology* **12** (2008), 1711-1727.
- [4] Y. Felix, S. Halperin, and J.-M. Lemaire. The rational LS category of products and of Poincaré duality complexes. *Topology* **37** (1998) 749-756.
- [5] Y. Felix, S. Halperin, and J.-C. Thomas. *Rational Homotopy Theory*, Springer, 2000.
- [6] K. Hardie. On the category of the double mapping cylinder. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* **25** (1973), 355-358.
- [7] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [8] P. J. Hilton. Suspension Theorems and the generalized Hopf invariant. *Proc. of the London Math. Soc.* **1** (1951), 462-493.
- [9] N. Iwase. Ganea’s conjecture on Lusternik-Schnirelmann category. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **30** (1998), 623-634.
- [10] N. Iwase. A_∞ methods in Lusternik-Schnirelmann category. *Topology*. **41** (2002) 695-723.
- [11] P. Lambrechts, D. Stanley, and L. Vandembroucq. Embeddings up to homotopy of two cones in Euclidean spaces. *Trans. American Math. Soc.* **354** (2002), 3973-4013.
- [12] J. J. Rivadeneyra-Pérez. On $\text{cat}(X \setminus p)$, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **15** (1992), 812.
- [13] Y. Rudyak. *On Thom Spectra, Orientability, and Cobordism*. Springer, 2010.
- [14] Y. Rudyak. On Category weight and its applications. *Topology*, **50** (2008) 37-55.
- [15] D. Sullivan. *Geometric Topology, Localization, Periodicity, and Galois Symmetry*. The MIT Press, 1970.
- [16] A. Švarc. The genus of a fibered space. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč* **10, 11** (1961 and 1962), 217–272, 99–126, (in *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Series 2*, vol **55** (1966)).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32608